

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	,			
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/774,266	01/30/2001	Lotfi Hedhli	3055-002-01	9985
7.	590 06/04/2003			
KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.LC.			EXAMINER	
53A Lee Street Warrenton, VA 20186			CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/774,266	HEDHLI ET AL.
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		William K Cheung	1713
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) 🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	April 2003 .	
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	vance except for formal matter	
· ·	on of Claims	an.	
. —	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-14,16-32 and 34</u>		oration
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	is/are withdrawn from conside	eration.
•			
	Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-9,11,15 and 33</u> is/are rejected	•	
8)	Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
	on Papers	-	
	The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10)[_]	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
11)[]	Applicant may not request that any objection to t The proposed drawing correction filed on		• •
' '/	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r		pproved by the Examiner.
12)[7]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	• •	•
,—	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 35 H S C -8 1	19/3)_(d) or (f)
•	All b) Some * c) None of:	gri priority under 55 0.0.0. § 1	13(2)-(0) 01 (1).
۵٫۱	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		lication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		
* S	application from the International B see the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•
14)🛛 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	119(e) (to a provisional application).
) The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachmen	t(s)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	ademark Office v. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/774,266

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. The examiner acknowledges the receipt of the response to Restriction
Requirement (Paper No. 10). Applicants elect Group I, claims 1-11, 15, 16, and 33
traverse. Applicants argue that MPEP 803 states that if there is no serious burden on
the part of the Examiner to examine the entire subject matter set forth in a patent
application, then the examiner must proceed with doing so even if the application is
drawn to multiple invention. However, the examiner does not find the argument
persuasive because, the examination of multiple inventions does impose serious burden
on the examiner. In view of the reasons set forth above, the restriction set forth is
deemed proper and is therefore made Final.

Regarding the species election of Group I, in view of applicants' argument set forth in Paper No. 10, the species election requirement for Group I has been withdrawn.

2. The Partial Search Report for European Application No. 01916103 listed in IDS (Paper No. 9) can not be considered and has been removed from the PTO-1449 form because the references is not dated as written.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,266

Art Unit: 1713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 7, 15, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lunkwitz et al. (US 5,540,837).

The invention of claims 1, 7, 15, 33 relates to a **polymer blend** comprising:

a) at least one **acrylic or vinyl resin** or both having **at least one ionic or ionizable group**; and

b) at least one thermoplastic fluoropolymer, wherein a) and b) are different.

Lunkwitz et al. (col. 9, line 55-67) disclose membrane which comprises a PTFE/polyacrylic acid blend prepared by treating PTFE membrane with polyacrylic acid. Since PTFE is a fluoropolymer and polyacrylic acid contain carboxylic acid groups that are ionic or ionizable, Lunkwitz et al. contain all the inventive features of claims 1, 7, 15, 33 in a single embodiment. Therefore, the invention of claims 1, 7, 15, 33 is anticipated.

5. Claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 15, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Prakash et al. (WO 98/22989).

Application/Control Number: 09/774,266

Art Unit: 1713

The invention of claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 15, 33 relates to a **polymer blend** comprising:

- a) at least one acrylic or vinyl resin or both having at least one ionic or ionizable group; and
- b) at least one thermoplastic fluoropolymer, wherein a) and b) are different.

Prakash et al. (page 7, line 6-17) disclose a membrane composed of a polyblend of sulfonated polystyrene and poly(vinylidene fluoride). Since sulfonated polystyrene is a vinyl resin contain at least one ionic or ionizable sulfonated group, Prakash et al. contain all the inventive features of claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 15, 33 in a single embodiment. Therefore, the invention of claims 1-3, 6, 8, 9, 15, 33 is anticipated.

6. Claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Röber et al. (WO 98/22989).

The invention of claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11 relates to a **polymer blend** comprising:

a) at least one **acrylic or vinyl resin** or both having **at least one ionic or ionizable group**; and

b) at least one thermoplastic fluoropolymer, wherein a) and b) are different.

Application/Control Number: 09/774,266

Art Unit: 1713

Röber et al. (abstract) disclose a multiplayer plastic pipe which contains a layer comprising a mixture (or a blend) of PVDF and an acrylate copolymer. Further, Röber et al. (col. 3, line 50-55) disclose that the acrylate copolymer contains 0-15 weight % of carboxylic acid ionic or ionizable group. Therefore, Röber et al. contain all the inventive features of claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11. Therefore, the invention of claims 1-3, 7, 8, 11 is anticipated.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 4, 5, 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The closest prior art Prakash et al. (WO 98/22989) does not disclose a blend containing a PVDF copolymer or a blend containing a fluorinated acrylic or vinyl resin.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K Cheung whose telephone number is (703)

Art Unit: 1713

305-0392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to

2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-5885 for regular communications and (703) 305-5885 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

William K. Cheung

Patent Examiner

June 2, 2003